Oncothermia Journal 6:11-25 (2012)

# Clinical study for advanced pancreas cancer treated by oncothermia

# Dani<sup> $\alpha$ </sup> A, Varkonyi<sup> $\beta$ </sup> A, Magyar<sup> $\gamma$ </sup> T, Kalden M<sup> $\delta$ </sup>, Szasz<sup> $\epsilon \boxtimes$ </sup> A.

(α) Oncology Department, Vaszary K. Hospital, Petofi S. u. 18, Esztergom, Hungary
 (β) Polyclinic XIV, Jokai u. 3. Budapest, Hungary
 (γ) Oncology Department, Peterfy Hospital, Peterfy S. u. 1, Budapest, Hungary
 (δ) VeraMed Klinik, Meshede, Germany
 (ε) Department Biotechnics, St. Istvan University, Godollo, Hungary

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>™</sup> Dr. A. Szasz; Szent Istvan krt.20. Budapest, 1137, Hungary, Email: <u>Szasz.Andras@gek.szie.hu</u>

## Clinical study for advanced pancreas cancer treated by oncothermia

#### Abstract

Pancreas cancer (PCA) is an aggressive, common malignant tumor. We present two retrospective clinical studies of PCA done in two medical centers (HTT-MED Day-clinic and Peterfy Hospital). Both of the centers made the treatments by oncothermia in combination with the conventional tumor-therapies. We present the data from both centers and make a metaanalysis of the data as well. Results show a remarkable survival benefit for the patients compared to the historical data. The comparison of the studies shows a good correspondence in the data, which strengthens the reliability of the studies, and points out the feasibility of the oncothermia application on PCA.

Keywords: pancreas cancer, clinical-study, hyperthermia, oncothermia, survival-time, comparison.

#### Introduction, objective

Pancreas cancer [PCA, (topographic ICD: C25)] is a very aggressive tumor, one of the major unsolved health problems of the present, [ 1].The PCA is one of the most aggressive malignant disease with rapid progression-rate and short survival-time. Despite the massive efforts to find the adequate therapy, relatively low progress could be achieved in survival-rate of the disease.

The prognosis of the disease is extremely poor; only less than a quarter of the patients are operable, and less than a quarter of those survive to 5 years, and its incidence does not decrease in the past five years, [2]. Its gold-standard management is the resection, but most of the patients are unresectable, [3]. The radiation therapy is more palliative than curative, [4], [5]. This is the reason, why the chemotherapy in this disease has an especially important role. The subsequent reviews point out the importance of the adjuvant and neoadjuvat therapies, [6], [7], [8], and the Gemcitabine (Gemzar) + 5-flourouracil + leucovorin combination had shown a remarkable efficacy [9], [10], [11], [12], see Table 1.

Pts.#	RR (%)	1 y surv. (%)	Median surv. (m)	Ref.
16	25			[13]
16	25		5.25	[14]
36	14		4.4	[15]
163			5.4	[16]
164			6.7	[16]
12	25			[17]
16	31		9.6	[18]
54	3.7		7	[19]
34	17		5.7	[20]
26	19.3		8.5	[21]
24	8			[22]
52	31			[23]
44	13		6	[24]
48	9		6	[24]
28	29		8	[25]
24				[26]
24	38	2.5	6	[27]
12	8.3		8.5	[28]
41	11		8.2	[29]
26	19.2		10.3	[30]
27	7.4	22	7	[31]
42	5.7	28	6.5	[32]
29	10		4	[33]
25	8		9.6	[34]
62	25.9		9	[35]
64	29			[36], [37]
13	23			[38], [39]
11	9.1			[40]
19	0			[41]
24	35		9	[42]
23	34.7		9	[43]

38	5	9.3	[44], [45], [46]
24	13	7.5	[47]
14	7	6.1	[48]
42	26	7.1	[49], [50]
40	20		[51]
25	20	6.75	[52]
49	58	11	[53]
43	19	9	[54]
14	14		[55]
21	19	5.5	[56]
16	19	4.7	[57]
15	13	8	[58]
12	25		[59]

Table 1. Clinical studies on effeicacy of Gemzar combination

Hyperthermia (HT), combined with radiotherapy (RT) and chemotherapy (CT), seems to be a promising method for cancer treatment, although many of the underlying molecular mechanisms of this combination treatment are not properly understood yet. Although some widely accepted effects had been recognized:

It has been shown that an increase in temperature can cause vasoconstriction in certain tumors leading to decreased blood perfusion and heat conduction, and also inhibit angiogenesis [60], [61], [62]. At the same time, the elevated temperature causes vasodilation in the healthy tissues, leading to its increased blood perfusion and heat conduction [63]. These effects functioning like an effective heat trap [64], selectively increase the temperature in the tumor [65]. Furthermore it has long been known that hyperthermia can cause softening or melting of the lipid bilayer [66], [67], [68], it can change lipid-protein interactions [69], and it can denature proteins [70]. All of these events can significantly disrupt a tumor cell's capacity to divide. It is shown, that the increased temperatures cause a drastic change in transmembrane currents [71] and structurally alters the transmembrane proteins causing a change in active membrane transport and membrane capacity [72], leading to substantial changes in potassium, calcium, and sodium ion gradients [73], membrane potential [74], cellular function [75], [76], and induce thermal blocks of electrically excitable cells [74], [77]. Hyperthermia changes the pH values by increasing the biochemical reaction rates [78] and therefore also the metabolic rate. The lack of the oxygen for this forced metabolism results hypoxia [79] and the anaerobe metabolism produces lactate [80] and cell destruction by acidosis. Furthermore, the increased metabolism can significantly decrease the cellular ATP stores leading to increased cell destruction [80]. The DNA replication process is also altered by heat. The increased temperatures can slow down or even block DNA replication [81], [82], as well as stimulate the immune system [81], with observed increases in natural killer cell activity [83]. Moreover, the elevated temperature distributes tumor-specific antigens on the surface of various tumor cells [84] and assists in their secretion into the extracellular fluid [85]. It is important to mention for the clinical outcome the improvement in the quality of life due to the significant pain reduction [86], which can be prolonged and enhanced by the electric field using TENS effects [87]. This pain-reduction has special importance at such painful disease like PCA. Additionally, hyperthermia is an ideal combination therapy. It has low toxicity, mild side effects, and has been shown to provide synergies with many of the traditional treatment modalities. It enhances the effect of chemotherapy [88], [89]; and also has pronounced advantages for surgical interventions.

One of the most advanced treatment HT-modalities devoted to oncology is oncothermia (OT), [90], [91]. Due to the limited effectiveness of established therapies, OT could be one of the important future methods to improve the treatment facilities of PCA, [92], [93].

Our objective in this article is to present a retrospective clinical study for PCA. The study concentrates on the effects of the survival time as one of the most important factors to measure the success of a treatment in oncology.

The retrospective data are indications only, the prospective, randomized, controlled study should clarify the situation as according to evidence based medicine. However, we present data from two study-places, showing their similar results, and also present a comparison of the first year survival by oncothermia with two more independent clinics.

## Method

The present results are obtained from an open-label, single-arm, retrospective study. The involved patients are being analyzed according to an intention-to-treat (ITT) schedule. Recruiting time was from Apr. 1997 to Aug. 2002, all together 64 months. The primary check of the efficacy of a curative method in such a lethal kind of disease is the survival time. The primary endpoints of the present study therefore were the overall survival oncothermia treatment time (OS) and the survival time from the first oncothermia treatment (oncothermia treatment survival time, OSO). The date of death (or alive) were checked by the Hungarian National Death Register, so the actual and accurate data were collected. The latest check of the deaths was 31. December of 2003.

The evaluation methods were: descriptive biostatistics, log-rank survival tests (Kaplan-Meier plot), and comparison with large studies and databases and/or local historical data. In order to support the reliability of the retrospective data-set, two independent hospitals were involved in the present study. One is the Peterfy Hospital, Budapest (PFY). It is a governmental hospital involved in the regular health-service network. The other one is a private day-clinic (HTT-Med Polyclinics, (HTT)), serving the patients only on private basis. The two trial-places were in tight information-contact, making the treatments with the same practical conditions and guidelines.

Patients were dominantly in late/advanced stages, where the traditional oncotherapies were unsuccessful.

Inclusion criteria were: (1) Inoperable or sub-totally resected or recurrent primary pancreas tumor, (2) progression after surgery and/or chemo-therapy, (3) Karnofsky Performance Score (KPS) > 40%. and the inclusion was irrespective of the localization of the lesion in the pancreas. Most of the patients failed to respond to any of the applied conventional therapies.

Exclusion criteria were only the well-known contraindications of the oncothermia method (metallic implants or replacements in the treated area, missing heat-sense in the treated area, pacemaker or other field-sensitive implants in the patient).

The study had a couple of possible negative biases: (1) the treatment is paid or co-paid by the patients, who do it on a voluntary basis (ITT) in strict control of the oncologist who was responsible for the patient treatment till that time; (2) no randomized control arm exists, the trial is compared to the historical control or to the available literature.

However, the present study had a few possible positive biases as well: (1) patients were treated in their advanced stages, when other treatments had failed and/or were not possible; (2) the involved clinics are not equipped so well as the special institutes/universities; (3) the involved patients had no extra "trial-attention".

The safety of the method is proven. It has been applied over 15 years in clinical practices. No serious safety problem has been reported about the oncothermia treatments. The devices are approved according to the European Medical Device Directive (CE/MDD) and those are under permanent vigilance system. The treatment dose is personalized, fitted for the actual status of the given patient.

The used device was EHY2000 (OncoTherm), capacitive coupled, working on 13.56 MHz, timedomain (fractal) modulated, with 30-150 W power absorbed by the tumor, keeping the skin surface on 20 oC. (For further details of the method we would like to refer to some of our other papers, [71], [90], [91].) The treatment control was made by the absorbed energy [kJ], which was converted to the equivalent temperature [T]. The equivalent temperature is higher than the actual temperature value, calculated by the assumption that the energy makes only a temperature increase. The reality, that the energy together with the increase of the temperature is basically used for the distortion of the structures, change of the chemical bonds and compensate of the physiological regulations, [94], [95], [96]. The equivalent temperature is in average higher, about 10 oC, than the measurable one in the actual conditions, however it is always the function of the given conditions and mechanisms.

The calculated average equivalent temperature in the tumors was above 43 oC in more than 90% of the treatment time. The targeted area was treated by the properly covering applicator system. OT was performed in two/three sessions per week. Treatment time and power range per session were 60 minutes, and 150 W. The power was gradually and linearly raised up depending on the patient tolerance. The applied average energy was 300 kJ/treatment (250-450). The applied applicators were 3.1 dm<sup>2</sup> and 7.1 dm<sup>2</sup>, depending on the tumor volume.

#### Results

#### Hospital Peterfy (PFY) (n=26)

The age-distribution of n=26 patients was near to normal (see Figures. 1, 2); no outlier were present. The median age was 64.5 y (37 - 77), the mean-age was 62.5 y (Std.err= 1.99). The gender distribution was 14/12 female/male (53.8/46.2 %). The ratio of the elderly (>68 y) patients were 42.3%.



Figure 1. Age-distribution at diagnosis

Figure 2. Cumulative age-distribution

Most of the patients (23, 88.5%) had distant metastases. They were heavily pretreated, everybody received at least one chemotherapy and most of them underwent surgery (see Figure 3).



Figure 3. The pretreatment distribution in patient population

The actual staging was made at the first diagnosis: 23, [88.5%] was in advanced [WHO III or IV] stages, and at the first oncothermia treatment 100% was in advanced stages, 19 (73.1%) were in the worst stage.

The median of the elapsed time from the 1st diagnosis to the 1st oncothermia was 4.1m (0.8-75), while its mean was 8.6m (st.err.3.0). The elapsed time ratio to the overall survival was more than 35% (median 37.3%, [5.9-86], mean 44.3 [st.err.4.2]).

The oncothermia treatment was provided 2-3 times a week, the treatment number was in average 9.0 (st.err.0.86) and its median 6 (3-16), (see Figure 4.)



Figure 4. Treatment number of oncothermia is dominantly in the 5-10 interval

The Kaplan-Meier plots of the overall survival (OS) (median 12.0m, [2.3-115.5]; mean 17.5m, [st.err.4.4]) and the survival from the first oncothermia treatment (OSO) (median 6.32m, [0.7-40.4]; mean 8.9m, [st.err.1.9]) are shown in Figure 5. For elderly patients neither the OS nor the OSO were different (p=0.41 and p=0.61, respectively).



Figure 5. The OS (a) and OSO (b) Kaplan-Meier plots

The survival was significantly different and for patient without or with metastases in their OS, (p=0.039), but was not significant in their OSO (p=0.20), see Figure 6.



Figure 6. OS (a) and OSO (b) survivals depend on the preliminary surgery

We studied the effect of the experience of the treating medical personnel by the data before and after the median time of the study. No observable effect could be registered: OS (p=0.86) and OSO (p=0.69). The elapsed time to the first oncothermia from the first diagnosis is lower in the

late experience, (medians are 5.17 m [0.8-75.1], and 3.27 m [0.9-35.3], in early and late experience period, respectively) but the difference is not significant either (p=0.29).

HTT-MED Polyclinic (HTT) (n=73)

The age-distribution of n=73 patients was near to normal (see Figures. 7., 8.); no outlier were present. The median age was 58 y (24 - 79), the mean-age was 59.1 y (Std.err= 1.3). The gender distribution was 33/40 female/male (45.2/54.8%). The ratio of the elderly (>68 y) patients were 26.0%.



Figure 7. Age distribution of patients in HTT trial

Figure 8. Cumulative age-distribution in HTT

Most of the patients (54, 74.0%) had distant metastases, (one, two and three metastases were observed for 43 (58.9%), 10 (13.7%) and 1 (1.4%) patients, respectively). They were heavily pretreated, mostly (93.4%) underwent surgery and subsequent radiation and/or chemo-therapies, see Figures. 9, 10).



The actual staging was made at the first diagnosis (45, 61..6% was in advanced [WHO III or IV] stages) and at the first oncothermia treatment they were in more advanced status.

The median of the elapsed time from the 1st diagnosis to the 1st oncothermia was 3.3 m (0.3-85.7), while its mean was 6.6 m (st.err.1.3). The median of the elapsed time ratio to the overall survival was 37.1% (5.1-96.0], mean 41.2 [st.err.3.4]).

The oncothermia treatment was provided twice a week, the treatment number was in average 8.0 (st.err.0.6) and its median 6 (3-26), (see Figure 11.). The equivalent temperature in average was 50.7 (sd.err.0.6), median 51 (43-59). (Note, that the equivalent temperature is not the real temperature. It is the calculated value from the actual energy-absorption and the impedance, meaning the actual destruction rate, which is as high, as it would be in a purely temperature oriented case.) The applied treatment time in average was 67.2 min, (st.err.1.8) and its median was 60 (45-120).



Figure 11. Number of oncothermia treatments

The Kaplan-Meier plots of the overall survival (OS) (median 12.7 m, [1.2-94..5]; mean 19.2 m, [st.err.2.1]) and the survival from the first oncothermia treatment (OSO) (median 4.7 m, [0.3-49.2]; mean 12.6 m, [st.err.1.7]) are shown in Figure 12. For elderly patients neither the OS nor the OSO were different ( $p\sim0.23$  and  $p\sim0.42$ , respectively).



Figure 12. OS (a) and OSO (b) of HTT-study

The differences between patients without or with metastases in their OS and OSO were significantly different (p=0.016 and p=0.004 for OS and OSO, respectively) see Figure 13.



*Figure 13. Dependence of the survival from the number of metastases [a) OS, b) OSO]* 

The number of treatnemts does not significantly influence the OS (p=0.24) and the OSO (p=0.16) and the follow-up time after the last oncothermia (p=0.23), see Figure 14.



Figure 14. The typical survival times do no depend significantly on the number of treatments (few = below median, many = above median number of treatments)

Interestingly, the gold-standard, the surgical pretreatment wasn't significantly important for the longer survival either for OS (p=0.84) and OSO (p=0.87) (see Figure 15.). This was probable because the tumor was only partially resected, or the surgery was only for palliation.



Figure 15. The survivals' dependence on the surgery ((0) - no; (1) - yes)

We studied the effect of the experience of the treating medical personnel by the data before and after the median time of the study. There is some difference (not significant) in the OS, OSO and ETO (p=0.15; p=0.077 and p=0.52, respectively), (see Figure 16.).



Figure 16. The experience of the treating personnel did not modify the results significantly ("early experience" = the treatment was started earlier than the median time of the study; "late experience" = the treatment was started later than the median time of the study)

#### Comparative-analysis

The age-distribution of the altogether n=99 patients was near to normal (see Figure 17.); and no outlier were present. The median age was 60 y (24 - 79), the mean-age was 60 y (Std.err= 1.1). In the spectrum of the PTF a shift to the elderly patients was present (see Figure 18.). The gender distribution was 47/52 female/male (47/53 %), and no significant difference could be measured between the places (see Figure 19.). The PFY/HTT patients' ratio is 61/197 (24/76 %).



Figure 17. Age-distribution of lung tumor patients (n=99). a) distribution by 10 y categories, b) probit cumulative



Figure 18. . The age-distribution differences in the given clinics



Figure 19. The gender distribution in the given clinics

74% and 88% of the patients had distant metastases in HTT and PFY groups, respectively (see Figure 20). Patients were heavily pretreated (see Figure 21), in PFY the chemo-therapy, in HTT the surgery was the most frequent modality.



Figure 20. Number of metastases of the patients involved in the study



Figure 21. Pretreatment distribution

The elapsed time to 1st oncothermia from the first diagnosis was identical (p=0.69) in the two places, see Figure 22.



Figure 22. The distribution of the elapsed time to the first oncothermia treatment

The oncothermia treatment was provided twice a week, the treatment number was in average was more in PFY than in HTT procedures, (see Figure 23.).



Figure 23. The number of treatments for the patients in the study

The overall survival (OS) and the survival from the first oncothermia treatment (OSO) are shown in Figures 24 and 25. Neither of the measured parameters differed from each other (p=0.38 and p=0.39, respectively).



Figure 24. The OS comparison of the studies Fig. 25. The OSO comparison of the studies. No significant difference could be observed



Survival after the treatment was not different in the two places (p=0.34, in Figure 26.).

Figure 26. The follow-up-time does not differ in the two studies either

#### Discussion

Results show the identical survival parameters in the two independent places. The yearly survival rate is also not significantly different (see Figure 27.)



Figure 27. The yearly survivals are well corresponding in the two studies

The results could be well compared to the available SEER [97] and Eurocare-3 [98] data. The comparison of the yearly survival rate is shown in Figure 28. The gain of the first few years is obvious, while the difference gradually vanishes approaching the 5th year. The reason is the difference of the treated patients. When the patient has a long survival, His/Her oncothermia treatment starts only at the end of the available conventional treatments; the patient receives oncothermia only in a small fraction of His/Her survival time, therefore the survival time does mostly not depend on the end-application of oncothermia. While in case of the short survivals a considerable lifetime depends on the oncothermia application.



Figure 28. The comparison of the results with SEER and Eurocare-3 data in first five years survival-rate (%).

To prove the results we had compared the most surprising first-year survival with other independent clinical results from two German clinics. The two additional retrospective oncothermia trials were performed by VeraMed Clinic, [99] and Numberg Town Hospital [100].

The result is shown on Figure 29. The result convincingly demonstrates the significant difference between the oncothermia and the general retrospective data.



Figure 29. Comparison of the first year survival-rates (%) in various clinics.

For additional check a historical control (n=34) from the St.Borbala Hospital (Tatabanya, Hungary) was given as comparison to the data. The reality of this comparison is the fact that one of the author (AD) had worked at HTT and at St.Borbala Hospital at the same time and so the comparison of his own data is feasible. The median OS of the control was 6.5 m (1-31), and the mean survival was 8.7 m (St.err.1.29), while the compared HTT (n=73), median 12.7 m (1.2-94.5), mean 19.6 (std, err.2.1).

The comparison of the Kaplan-Meier survival curves demonstrates the cogently significant difference (p<10-4), (see Figure 30.).



Figure 30. The comparison of the Kaplan-Meier survival curves of the results by HTT and the historical control, collected by the same treating physician in St.Borbala Hospital

#### Conclusion

Our present paper indicates the feasibility of the oncothermia treatment of PCA. The results are well indicating the benefit of treating PCA by oncothermia:

- 1. Oncothermia was applied for pancreas tumors, showing a valid treatment potential and safe application.
- 2. No safety or notable toxicity problem has occurred. The development of an edema or burn, which was a complication of hyperthermia applications in the past, is not the case with oncothermia. The treatment is safe and convenient to use.
- The survival time, as one of the most important parameters, was increased for the patients making progress by other treatments.
- 4. The quality of life of the patient was improved by oncothermia according to their subjective reports.

Our present data are only retrospective indications of the efficacy of the oncothermia method. A prospective, randomized, controlled double-arm clinical study is needed for an evidence-based evaluation.

### References

- [1] Li D, Xie K, Wolff R et al (2004) Pancreatic cancer. The Lancet 363:1049-1057
- [2] Jemal A, Murray T, Samuels A, et al (2003) Cancer Statistics, CA Cancer J. Clin., 52:5-26
- [3] Gudjonsson B (1987) Cancer of the pancreas: 50 years of surgery, Cancer 60:2284-2303
- [4] Moertel CG, Frytak S, Hahn RG, et al (1981) Therapy of locally unresectable pancreatic carcinoma: a randomized comparison of high dose (6000 rads) radiation alone, moderate dose radiation (4000 rads+5-fluorouracyl), and high dose radiation + 5-fluorouracyl, Cancer, 48:1705-1710
- [5] Minsky BD, Hilaris B Fucks Z (1988) The role of radiation therapy in the control of pain from pancreatic carcinoma, J. Pain Symptom Manage, 3:199-205
- [6] Ghaneh P, Slavin J, Sutton R, et al (2001) Adjuvant therapy in pancreatic cancer, World J Gastroenterol. 7:482-489
- [7] Ratary MGT, Magee CJ, Ghaneh P. et al (2002) New techniques and agents in the adjuvant therapy of pancreatic cancer, Acta Oncologica, 41:582-595
- [8] Neoptolemos JP, Cunningham D, Friess H, et al (2003) Adjuvan therapy in pancreatic cancer: historical and current perspectives, Annals of Oncology, 14:675-692
- [9] Abbruzzese JL (2002) New Applications of Gemcitabine and Future Directions in the Management of Pancreatic Cancer. CANCER Supplement 95(4):941-945
- [10] Jacobs AD (2002) Gemcitabine-Based Therapy in Pancreas Cancer. CANCER Supplement 95(4):923-927
- [11] McGinn CJ, Lawrence TS, Zalupski MM (2002) On the Development of Gemcitabine-Based Chemoradiotherapy Regimens in Pancreatic Cancer. CANCER Supplement 95(4):933-940
- [12] Bodoky Gy (2003) Experiences with the treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer in Hungary, [in Hungarian], Hungarian Oncology 47:10-13
- [13] Alabiso O, Buosi R, Clerico M et al (2001) Preliminary results of a phase II study with gencitabine and continuous infusion 5-FU in patients with advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 20:A2331
- [14] Anchisi S, Delaloye B, Petite J et al (2000) Gemcitabine (GEM) and continuous infusional 5-FU (CIF) is active and well tolerated in advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 19:A1280H
- [15] Berlin JD, Adak S, Vaughn DJ et al (2000) A phase II study of gemcitabine and 5-FU in metastatic pancreatic cancer: an Eastern Cooperative Onc. Group Study (E3296). Oncology 58:215-218
- [16] Berlin J, Catalano P, Thomas J et al (2001) A phase III study of gencitabine in combination with 5-FU vs. gencitabine alone in patients with advanced pancreatic carcinoma: an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) trial. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol. 20:A5025
- [17] Borner MM, Mauerer CA, Friess H et al (1998) Gemcitabine (GEM) and continuous infusion fluorouracil (CIF): a phase II study in advanced pancreatic cancer (APC). Ann Oncol 9:A241P
- [18] Brodowicz T, Wolfram RM, Kostler WJ et al (2001) Phase II study of gemcitabine in combination with cisplatin in patients with locally advanced and/or metastatic pancreatic cancer. Anticancer Drugs 11:623-628
- [19] Cascinu S, Frontini L, Labianca R et al (2000) A combination of a fixed dose rate infusion of gencitabine associated to a bolus 5-FU in advanced pancreatic cancer, a report from GISCAD. Ann Oncol 11:1309-1311
- [20] Cascinu S, Silva RR, Barni S et al (1999) A combination of gemcitabine and 5-FU in advanced pancreatic cancer, a report from the Italian Group for the Study of Digestive Tract Cancer (GISCAD). Br J Cancer 80:1595-1598
- [21] Castellano D, Paz-Ares L, Pronk L et al (2000) A phase I/II clinical and pharmacologic study of dose-escalating and dose-sequencing of administration of gemcitabine (G) and folinic acid (FA)/fluorouracil (FU) in advanced pancreatic cancer (APC). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 19:A1133
- [22] Clark JW, Ryan DP, Kulke M et al (2000) Phase II study of gemcitabine and docetaxel in patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 19: A1238
- [23] Colucci G, Riccardi F, Giuliani F et al (1999) Randomized trial of gemcitabine (GEM) alone or with cisplatin (CDDP) in the treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer: a phase II multicenter study of the Southern Italy Oncology Group. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 18:A961
- [24] Di Costanzo F, Sdroboloni A, Carlini P et al (2001) Gemcitabine (GEM) alone or in combination with 5-FU continuous infusion (CI) in the treatment of advanced pancreatic cancer(APC): a GOIRC randomized phase II trial. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 20:A612
- [25] Garnier C, Rebischung C, Chirpaz E et al (2001) Phase II study of a combination with leucovorin (LV), 5-FU bolus and infusion (FU), gemcitabine (GEM) and oxaliplatin (LOHP) (FOLFU GEMOX regimen) in locally advanced (LA) and metastatic (M) pancreatic carcinoma (APC). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 20:A620
- [26] Geuenich S, Wilkowski R, Vehling-Kaiser U et al (2001) Gemcitabine and high-dose 5-flourouracil/folinic acid: a phase I study. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 20:A2271
- [27] Gonzalez-Cao M, Salgado E, Rodriguez J et al (2001) Docetaxel (D) with gemcitabine (GEM) in metastatic pancreatic cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 20:A2274
- [28] Gutzler F, Moehler M, Hosch WP et al (1999) A phase I study of gemcitabine (GEM) in combination with 5 days 5fluorouracil (5-FU) and folinic acid (FA) in patients with advanced adenocarcinoma of pancreas or bile duct. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol A1097
- [29] Heinemann V, Wilke H, Mergenthaler H G et al (2000) Gemcitabine and cisplatin in the treatment of advanced or metastatic pancreatic cancer. Ann. Oncol 11:1399-1403
- [30] Hidalgo M, Castellano D, Paz-Ares L et al (1999) Phase I-II study of gemcitabine and fluorouracil as a continuous infusion in patients with pancreatic cancer. J Clin Oncol 17:585-592
- [31] Kakolyris S, Stathopoulos G, Tsavaris N et al (1999) First-line treatment with docetaxel (D) and gemcitabine (G) in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer: a multicenter Phase II study. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 18:A960
- [32] Kindler HL (2002) The Pemetrexed/Gemcitabine Combination in Pancreatic Cancer. CANCER Supplement 95(4):928-932
- [33] Kurtz JE, Kohser F, Negrier S et al (2000) Gemcitabine and protracted 5-FU for advanced pancreatic cancer: A phase II

study. Hepatogastroenterology 47:1450-1453

- [34] Lencioni M, Falcone A, Masi G et al (2000) Phase I-II study of Gemcitabine (GEM) in combination with 24 hours continuous infusion (CI) on 5-flourouracil (5-FU) and L-Leucovorin (LV) in patients (Pts) with advanced pancreatic carcinoma (APC). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 19:A1235
- [35] Louvet C, Andre T, Hammel P et al (2001) Phase II trial of bimonthly leucovorin, 5-FU and gemcitabine for advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma (FOLFUGEM). Ann Oncol 12:675-679
- [36] Louvet C, Andre T, Lledo G et al (2001) Gemcitabine-oxaliplatin (GEMOX) combination in advanced pancreatic carcinoma (APC): a Gercor multicenter phase II study. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 20:A506
- [37] Louvet C, Andre T, Lledo G et al (2002) Gemcitabine Combined With Oxaliplatin in Advanced Pancretic Adenocarcinoma: Final Results of a GERCOR Multicenter Phase II Study. J Clin Oncol 20(6):1512-1518
- [38] Lueck A, Ridwelski K, Kettner E et al (2000) Final results of a Phase I study of weekly gemcitabine and docetaxel in pancreatic carcinoma and preliminary results of a Phase II study. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 19:A1256
- [39] Lueck A, Ridwelski K, Lippert H (1998) Phase I study of a treatment with gemcitabine and docetaxel weekly in advanced pancreatic cancer. Proc Annu Meet Eur Soc Clin Oncol 23:A249
- [40] Matano E, Tagliaferri P, Libroia A et al (2000) Gemcitabine combined with continuous infusion 5-FU in advanced and symptomatic pancreatic cancer: a clinical benefit-oriented phase II study. Br. J Cancer 82(11):1772-1775
- [41] Maurel J, Garcia Lopez JL, Leon A et al (2001) Phase II trial of gemcitabine (GEM) and 48-hour continuous infusion (CU) of 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) as first-line treatment for patients with locally advanced and metastatic exocrine pancreatic cancer(PC). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 20:A2290
- [42] Mousseau M, Rebischung C, Garnier C et al (2000) Phase I/II Study in Advanced Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma (APA) and Carcinoma of Unknown Primary (CUP): A Combination of Leucovorin (LV), 5 FU Bolus and Infusion, Gemcitabine (GEM) and Oxaliplatine (L.OHP) (FOLFU GEMOX Regimen). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 19:A913
- [43] Murad AM, de Gusmao GBRA, Scalabrini-Neto AO et al (2000) Phase II trial of the use of gemcitabine and 5-FU in the treatment of advanced pancreatic and biliary tract adenicarcinoma. Final report Ann Oncol 11:A302P
- [44] Oettle H, Arning M, Pelzer U et al (2000) Phase II trial of gemcitabine in combination with 5-FU (24-hour) and folinic acid in patients with chemonaive advanced pancreatic cancer. Ann Oncol 11:1267-1272
- [45] Oettle H, Pelzer U, Hochmuth K et al (1999) Phase I trial of gemcitabine (Gemzar), 24 h infusion 5-FU and folinic acid in patients with inoperable pancreatic cancer. Anticancer drugs 10:699-704
- [46] Oettle H, Riess H (2002) Gemcitabine in Combination with 5-Fluorouracil with or without Folinic Acid in the Treatment of Pancreatic Cancer. CANCER Supplement 95(4):912-922
- [47] Pastorelli D, Pedrazzoli S, Spertiet C et al (2000) Phase II trial with gemcitabine (GEM) + 5-fluorouracile (5-FU) in advanced pancreatic cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 19:A1110
- [48] Petrovic Z. (2001) Docetaxel and gemcitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 20:A2268
- [49] Philip PA (2002) Gemcitabine and Platinum Combinations in Pancreatic Cancer. CANCER Supplement 95(4):908-911
- [50] Philip PA, Zalupski MM, Vaitkevicius VK et al (2001) Phase II study of gemcitabine and cisplatin in the treatment of patients with advanced pancreatic carcinoma. Cancer 92:569-577
- [51] Polyzos A, Tsavaris N, Kosmas C et al (2000) A Phase II Study of Gemcitabine (GEM) Plus 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) Modulated by Leucovorin (LV) for Advanced Pancreatic Cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 19:A1229
- [52] Rauch DP, Maurer CA, Aebi S et al (2001) Activity of gemcitabine and continuous infusion fluorouracil in advanced pancreatic cancer. Oncology 60(1):43-48
- [53] Reni M, Passoni P, Panucci MG et al (2001) Definitive results of a phase II trial of cisplatin, epirubicin, continuous-infusion fluorouracil, and gembitabine in stage IV pancreatic adeno-carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 19:2679-2686
- [54] Ridwelski K, Kettner E, Greiner L et al (2001) Multicenter Phase II study of weekly docetaxel and gemcitabine for the treatment of patients (Pts) with advanced or recurrent pancreatic cancer Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 20:A624
- [55] Riedel C, Wein A, Wehler M et al (2000) High-Dose 5-Fluorouracil (FU) 24-H-Infusion with Gemcitabine (GEM): Tolerable and Efficient in Palliative Outpatient Treatment of Pancreatic Cancer. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 19:A1248
- [56] Rodriguez-Lescure A, Carrato A, Massuti B et al (1999) Phase I-II Study of Gemcitabine (GEM) and Weekly 48-Hour Continuous Infusion (CI) if High Dose 5-Fluorouracil (5-FU) in Advanced Exocrine Pancreatic Cancer (APC) (Meeting abstract). Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 18:A1145
- [57] Shepard RC, Levy D, Stuart K et al (2001) Pancreatic cancer: biweekly gemcitabine/docetaxel chemotherapy. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 20: A614
- [58] Shulman KL, Kindler HL, Lad T et al (2000) Phase II study of gemcitabine (G) and continuous intravenous infusion (CIV) 5fluorouracil (5-FU) in advanced pancreatic cancer (PC): a University of Chicago phase II consortium study. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 19:A1126
- [59] Zanon C, Alabiso O, Grosso M et al (2000) Intra-arterial continuous infusion for treatment of pancreatic and biliary tract cancer. Int J Pancreatol 27(3):225-233
- [60] Vaupel P, Kallinowski FPO (1989) Blood flow, oxygen and nutrient supply, and microenvironment of human tumors: a review. Cancer Res 49: 6449-6
- [61] Dudar TE, Jain RK (1984) Differential response of normal and tumor microcirculation to hyperthermia, Cancer Res. 44:605-612
- [62] Song CW, Lokshina A, Rhee JG, Patten M, Lewitt SH (1984) Implication of blood-flow in hyperthermic treatment of tumors, IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng. BME-31:9-16
- [63] Song CW, Choi IB, Nah BS, Sahu SK, Osborn JL (1995) Microvasculature and Persfusion in Normal Tissues and Tumors, Thermoradiometry and Thermochemotherapy. (Eds. Seegenschmiedt MH, Fessenden P, Vernon CC). Vol. 1. Pp. 139-156
- [64] Yoshimasa Takana (2001) Thermal Responses of Microcirculation and Modification of Tumor Blood Flow in Treating the Tumors, Thermotherapy for Neoplasia, Inflammation, and Pain (Eds. M. Kosaka, T. Sugahara, K.L. Schmidt, E. Simon). Springer Verlag Tokyo, pp.408-419.
- [65] Vaupel P (1990) Phatophysiological Mechanisms of Hyperthermia in Cancer Therapy, M. Gautherie (ed.), Biological Basis of Oncologic thermotherapy, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 73-134
- 24 Oncothermia Journal, September 2012

- [66] Heilbrunn LV (1924) The colloid chemistry of protoplasm, Am. J. Physiol. 69:190-199
- [67] Yatvin MB, Dennis WH (1978) Membrane lipid composition and sensitivity to killing by hyperthermia, Procaine and Radiation, In: Cancer Therapy by Hyperthermia and Radiation, Eds: Streffer C, vanBeuningen D, Dietzel F, Rottingen E, Robinson JE, Scherer E, Seeber S, Trott K.-R., Urban & Schwarzenberg, Baltimore, Munich, pp.157-159
- [68] Streffer C (1990) Biological Basis of Thermotherapy (with special reference to Oncology). In: Biological Basis of Oncologic Thermotherapy, Ed: Gautherie M, Springer Verlag Berlin, pp 1-72
- [69] Bowler K, Duncan CJ, Gladwell RT, Davison TF (1973) Cellular heat injury. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. 45A:441-450
- [70] Belehradek J (1957) Physiological aspects of heat and cold, Am. Rev. Physiol. 19:59-82
- [71] Szasz A, Vincze Gy, Szasz O, Szasz N (2003) An energy analysis of extracellular hyperthermia, Magneto- and electrobiology 22:103-115
- [72] Wallach DFH (1978) Action of Hyperthermia and Ionizing radiation on plasma membranes, In: Cancer Therapy by Hyperthermia and Radiation, Eds: Streffer C, vanBeuningen D, Dietzel F, Rottingen E, Robinson JE, Scherer E, Seeber S, Trott K.-R., Urban & Schwarzenberg, Baltimore, Munich, pp.19-28
- [73] Nishida T, Akagi K, Tanaka Y (1997) Correlation between cell killing effect and cell-membrane potential after heat treatment: analysis using fluorescent dye and flow cytometry. Int. J. Hyperthermia 13:227-234
- [74] Weiss TF (1996) Cellular Biophysics, Vol.2. Electrical properties, MIT Press, Cambridge
- [75] Mikkelsen RB, Verma SP, Wallach DFH (1978) Hyperthermia and the membrane potential of erythrocyte membranes as studied by Raman Spectroscopy, In: Cancer Therapy by Hyperthermia and Radiation, Eds: Streffer C, vanBeuningen D, Dietzel F, Rottingen E, Robinson JE, Scherer E, Seeber S, Trott K.-R., Urban & Schwarzenberg, Baltimore, Munich. pp. 160-162
- [76] Hahn GM (1990) The heat-shock response: Effects before, during and after Gene activation. In: Biological Basis of Oncologic Thermotherapy, Ed: Gautherie M. Springer Verlag Berlin, pp 135-159
- [77] Hodgkin AL, Katz B (1949) The effect of temperature on the electrical activity of the giant axon of the squid. J. Physiol. 108:37-77,
- [78] Weiss TF (1996) Cellular Biophysics, Vol.1. Transport, MIT Press, Cambridge
- [79] Dewhirst MW, Ozimek EJ, Gross J, et al (1980) Will hyperthermia conquer the elusive hypoxic cell? Radiology.137: 811-17.
- [80] Vaupel PW, Kelleher DK (1996) Metabolic status and reaction to heat of Normal and tumor tissue., Seegenschmiedt MH, Fessenden P, Vernon CC (Eds.) Thermo-radiotherapy and Thermo-chemiotherapy, Vol. 1. Biology, physiology and physics, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp.157-176
- [81] Keszler G, Csapo Z, Spasokoutskaja T et al (2000) Hyperthermy increase the phosporylation of deoxycytidine in the membrane phospholipid precursors and decrease its incorporation into DNA. Adv Exper Med Biol. 486:33-337
- [82] Dikomey E, Franzke J (1992) Effect of heat on induction and repair of DNA strand breaks in X-irradiated CHO cells. Int J Radiat Biol 61: 221-34.
- [83] Shen RN, Lu L, Young P, et al (1994) Influence of elevated temperature on natural killer cell activity, lymphokine-activated killer cell activity and lecitin-dependent cytotoxicity of human umbilical cord blood and adult blood cells. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 29:821-26
- [84] Srivastava PK, DeLeo AB, Old LJ (1986) Tumor Rejection Antigens of Chemically Induced Tumors of Inbred Mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, Vol. 83, pp. 3404-3411.
- [85] Csermely P, Schnaider T, Soti C, Prohaszka Z, Nardai G (1998) The 90 kDa Molecular Chaperone Family: Structure, Function and Clinical Applications, A Comprehensive Review. Pharmacol Therapeutics, Vol. 79, pp. 129-168.
- [86] Gonzalez-Gonzalez D (1996) Thermo-radiotherapy for tumors of the lower gastro-instenstinal tract. In: M.H. Seegenschmiedt, P.Fessenden, C.C.Vernon (Eds.) Thermo-radiotherapy and Thermo-chemiotherapy, Vol. 1. Biology, physiology and physics. Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp.105-119
- [87] Pothmann R (1996) TENS Transkutane elektrische Nervenstimulation in der Schmerztherapie, Hippokrates Verlag GmbH, Stuttgart 1991
- [88] Urano M, Douple E (1994) Hyperthermia and Oncology Vol.4, Chemopotentiation by Hyperthermia, VSP Utrecht, The Netherlands
- [89] Kawasaki S, Asaumi JI, Shibuya K et al (2001) Recent Aspects of Elucidating the Cellular Basis of Thermochemotherapy. In: Kosaka M, Sugahara T, Schmidt KL, Simon E (Eds.) Thermotherapy for Neoplasia, Inflammation, and Pain. Springer Verlag Tokyo pp.424-432
- [90] Szasz A, Szasz O, Szasz N (2001) Electrohyperthermia: a new paradigm in cancer therapy. Wissenschaft & Forschung, Deutsche Zeitschrift f
  ür Onkologie 33:91-99.
- [91] Szasz A, Szasz O, Szasz N (2005) Physical background and technical realization of hyperthermia. In: Baronzio GF, Hager ED (Eds) Locoregional Radiofrequency-Perfusional- and Wholebody- Hyperthermia in Cancer Treatment: New clinical aspects. Springer Science Eurekah.com
- [92] Dani A, Varkonyi A, Nyiro I, Osvath M (2003) Clinical Experience of Electro-Hyperthermia for Advanced Pacnreatic Tumours. Presentation / ESHO 2003, Munich, Germany
- [93] Dani A, Varkonyi A, Osvath M, Szasz A (2004) Electro-Hyperthermia for Advanced Panreas Tumors. Presentation / DEGRO 2004, Erfurt Germany
- [94] Szasz A, Vincze Gy (2006) Dose concept of oncological hyperthermia: Heat-equation considering the cell destruction, Journal of Cancer Research and Therapeutics, 2:171-181
- [95] Szasz A (2006) What is against the acceptance of hyperthermia treatment? Die Naturheilkunde, Forum Medizin. 83(6):3-7
- [96] Szasz A (2007) Hyperthermia, a modality in the wings. J Cancer Res Ther 3(1):56-66
- [97] Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER), National Cancer Institute, April 2000, www.seer.cancer.gov
- [98] EUROCARE-3, European Cancer Database, <u>www.eurocare.org/profiles/index.html</u>
- [99] VeraMed Clinic, Meshede, Germany; Dr. M. Kalden, unpublished data, private information
- [100] Nurnberg Town Hospital, Nurnberg, Germany; Prof. H. Renner, unpublished data, private information